



the **Dean Burgon News**

IN DEFENSE OF TRADITIONAL BIBLE TEXTS

\$.30 a Copy • \$2.50 a Year

VOL. II, NO. 8

OFFICIAL ORGAN OF THE DEAN BURGON SOCIETY

AUGUST, 1980

HAVE YOU SENT \$2.50 FOR YOUR D.B.S. NEWS SUBSCRIPTION?

If you are a subscriber to our Dean Burgon News (by sending \$2.50 for a year's subscription, or sending an order of at least \$2.50 for each year you wish to receive your copy), is it time to renew? If so, please act today!
DON'T MISS A SINGLE ISSUE!

A NEW STUDY ON BURGON'S VIEWS

The DEAN BURGON SOCIETY is pleased to announce the arrival of a new study, written by Dr. D. A. Waite, President of the DEAN BURGON SOCIETY, entitled: "Dean John Burgon's Prerequisites For Major Revision of the New Testament Greek Textus Receptus and the English King James Version New Testament." The short title is: "Textus Receptus & K.J.V.—How And When Would Dean Burgon Revise Them?" This study is 55 pages in length, on letter-size paper, mimeographed. It has a detailed Table Of Contents, and contains four major sections: (1) **Introductory Considerations**; (2) **Dean John Burgon's Prerequisites For Major Revision Of The New Testament Greek Textus Receptus**; (3) **Dean John Burgon's Prerequisites For Major Revision Of The New Testament English King James (Authorized) Version**; and (4) **Summary And Conclusions**.

There are **five** conclusions regarding Burgon and the Greek text; **four** conclusions regarding Burgon and the English King James Version text; and **nine** conclusions making use of Burgon's conclusions on the Textus Receptus and the KJV as applied to today's textual and translation problems.

There is an **APPENDIX** of six pages which is entitled: "The Linguistic Training and/or Qualifications Of Some Of The Translators Of The King James Version of 1611" which shows the solid scholarship and abilities of 33 of the KJV translators—especially of the ones where we have a lot of information concerning their lives and background.

You can receive your copy of this study (55 pp., c. 33,000 words) by requesting #804, and sending a **GIFT** of \$4.00. If you wish two

An Answer To "Textus Receptus: Is It Fundamental To Our Faith?"

[Written by Dr. Thurman Wisdom, Dean of the School of Religion, at Bob Jones University, as printed in Faith For The Family, October, 1979, pp. 3-4].

By: Rev. D. A. Waite, Th.D., Ph.D.
President, Dean Burgon Society

[Installment #9]

(b) Those Who "ACTUALLY HOLD" To "VERBAL PRESERVATION." There is a third, and more correct view of "verbal preservation" which is held by both "pro-textus receptus" advocates and by the "non-textus receptus" advocates. This view believes that God has secured and preserved His words in Hebrew and in Greek (and the parts in Aramaic as well) by the following means: (1) God caused the original human writers to write down His exact words He wanted them to write, and these very words—and each and every one of these words without

copies, they are 2/\$7.00. We think you'll want to have this documented study in your library for ready reference whenever someone comes around and either (1) Wants to revise the Textus Receptus (or throw it out completely, like Westcott & Hort did); or (2) Wants to revise the King James (Authorized) Version! Burgon, if he were living today, would tell them: "Hold your horses, boys! Make sure you're completely ready and qualified for such major tasks before you undertake them!!" Read #804 and find out why we would say this!!

exception—were and are **infallible** and **inerrant**! They conveyed in the original Hebrew, Aramaic, and Greek languages, the **exact and precise** nuances of meaning and significance that God intended man to have for all of time. By thus having His written word originally written down in **Hebrew, Aramaic, and Greek**, He forced those who would peer into the exact and precise meanings of those Words to study, to learn, and to become thoroughly familiar with all three of these languages (with major emphasis on Hebrew and Greek, however). God did not see fit to give His original written Word in **English, or in German, or in French, or in Spanish, or in Russian, or in Portuguese, or in Italian, or in Latin, or in Ugaritic, or in Ethiopic, or in Norwegian, or in any other language known to man!** This is a vitally important thing to understand! The confusion which is presently plaguing the fundamentalist churches today throughout the country, I believe, centers around this point. (2) In fact, God never once caused any human writers or translators to operate any more under His **DIVINE INSPIRATION of the words** in any translation or version throughout human history thus far (nor will He in the future!) in the same or even a similar sense as He did when He originally gave His Word under **DIVINE INSPIRATION!** (3) God safeguarded His **verbally, and plenary inspired and inerrant and infallible word** in a number of ways: (a) He made certain that the Hebrew and Greek scribes were meticulous in the manner in which they handled the **transcription of copies of the originals**. Great care was

THE DEAN BURGON SOCIETY, INC. proudly takes its name in honor of Rev. John William Burgon (1813-1888), the Dean of Chichester in England, whose tireless and accurate scholarship and contribution in the area of New Testament Textual Criticism; whose defense of the Traditional Greek New Testament Text against its many enemies; and whose firm belief in the verbal inspiration and inerrancy of the Bible, we believe, have all been unsurpassed either before or since his time!

exercised in this transcription process, as evidence clearly indicates. (b) God caused His Word to be preserved accurately and precisely—including the very words which were used in the original writing itself—by means of a multiplicity of copies having been made in various SCRIPTORIA (or Scripture copying places) in various places and at various times throughout the history of God's written Word. (c) God also caused from early times versions or early translations to be made into other languages, thus giving more evidence available to the student of the text of Scripture. (d) God also caused EARLY CHURCH FATHERS to quote or to allude to various Scripture verses from the earliest times of the Church, thus giving us more evidence. (e) God also caused the Greek Church to have lectionaries made to be used to read from the New Testament Greek Scriptures during various times in the Church year, thus giving us still more evidence.

(4) I firmly believe that in the evidence which has come down to us both in the Hebrew and in the Greek languages, each and every word of the original has been preserved for us of the 20th Century! (5) God's WORDS—even though they are not on the same parchments, scrolls, vellum, or other writing material as they were in the original writings, yet the very Hebrew word, Aramaic word, or Greek word which has been preserved for us by the Lord is the very Word of God and is inerrant and infallible in all matters of which it speaks, including, not only faith and practice, but also science, history, chronology, and every area!

(c) How Do The "NON-TEXTUS RECEPTUS" Advocates And The "PRO-TEXTUS RECEPTUS" Advocates Differ In The Matter Of "VERBAL PRESERVATION?" There is a vast difference among the "NON-T.R." people and the "PRO-T.R." people (to abbreviate slightly) in the out-workings of their doctrine of "verbal preservation" of the Bible's words. Though they both (that is, those who agree with (b) above) believe in the fact of God's "verbal preservation" of His Bible and the words of the Bible, they differ drastically on the means of ascertaining God's words as found in the original writings. To put it simply, the "non-T.R." people who hold to the false Westcott and Hort theories claim that God has "verbally preserved" His Word in the main through the two documents "B" (Vatican) and "Aleph" (Sinai). The "pro-T.R." people believe, on the other hand, that God has "verbally preserved" His Word through the close examination of all present documents and evidence which bears upon the very words of the Scriptures (which, in the New Testament at least, consists of (1) the manuscripts themselves; (2) the lectionaries; (3) the quotations of the church fathers; and (4) the versions. Let it be said here, however, that the vast majority of Greek New Testament verses have NO DISSENT ABOUT THEM WHATSOEVER from these two groups (that is, the "NON-T.R." group and the "PRO-T.R." group). The argumentation comes about over those small number of words, verses, or longer passages (some of

An Answer To "What Is The 'Inspired' Word Of God?"

[Written by Dr. Edward M. Panosian, Chairman of the Division of History at Bob Jones University, as printed in Faith For The Family, February, 1979, pp. 1, 3-4]

By: Rev. D. A. Waite, Th.D., Ph.D.
President, The Dean Burgon Society

[Installment #16]

(2) What Is Known About The "EDITORSHIP" And "CLERGY-SCHOLARSHIP" of "WESTCOTT AND HORT?" In the first place, it was chiefly the work of "Hort," and NOT of "Westcott," though Westcott gladly lent his name to the undertaking. Hort was the "brains" (so-called) behind the "Text" and Westcott was dragged along mainly for the publicity of his name as a Bishop in the Anglican Church of his day. In the second place, their position as "CLERGYMEN" should be realized. I have taken pains to show in my book entitled *The Theological Heresies Of Westcott & Hort* [yours for a GIFT to DBS of \$2.50, 42 pages, #595] that both Westcott and Hort were by no means "Fundamentalists" but, on the contrary, were apostates and liberals and heretics in many areas of their own writings. I examined five books in all, three by Westcott, and two by Hort, with a total of 1,291 pages in all [1056

which are extremely important to various doctrines, and ALL of which are important in the ascertainment of the very words of God!) where the manuscript & other evidence is not agreed upon by both groups. We feel most definitely that the TEXTUS RECEPTUS or TRADITIONAL TEXT of the Greek New Testament contains by far the greatest amount of the very exact words of God the Holy Spirit as were in the Original Writings from the human writers' own hands, of any other Greek text in print today! This is why we insist that ALL translations be taken from this Received Text in the Greek (and the Masoretic Hebrew Traditional Text in the Old Testament)! This is also why we can hold up the Authorized (King James) Version and say "this is the Word of God!" because it is an excellent and professionally superior translation into English from the Greek Received Text, and the Hebrew Masoretic Text.

(2) Should We Examine And "INQUIRE INTO" the "DOCTRINE OF PRESERVATION" as Held By Conservatives?" My answer is "yes, by all means" to this question. We should seek to know exactly what is meant by this "doctrine of preservation" so that we might come to an agreement in defining our terms and then go on to seek to arrive at an understanding of the various differences, and then set out to come to an agreement on those things on which at present we might differ!

[TO BE CONTINUED]

from Westcott and 235 from Hort] and quoted 125 direct quotations from their own writings to prove their heresies. These two men were on the liberal side of theological opinion in their day (1881), whereas Dean John William Burgon was on the FUNDAMENTALIST side of theological opinion in their same Church of England at the same time. This is an important point to remember. I would urge you to get the above booklet and read it for yourself on this point. In the third place, I would like to comment on the "SCHOLARSHIP" of Westcott & Hort. It is to be greatly doubted that any meaningful use of the term "SCHOLARSHIP" might be properly used in reference to Westcott and/or Hort because of their thorough-going violation and abandonment of the most basic of all scholarship requirements—that is, the departure from and desertion of the evidence in favor of flights of fanciful and fallacious speculation! [See THE REVISION REVISED by Dean John Burgon, for many, many instances of this, available from DBS for a GIFT of \$12.00, #611]. Because of this, I would rather refer to Westcott and Hort, not as "SCHOLARS," but either as CHARLATANS, QUACKS, SNAKE-BITE MEDICINE MEN, or even DECEIVERS!! Can any less than these terms be used about men who, like Westcott and Hort so prostituted the truth for the sake of ADVANTAGE and to accomplish their malicious pre-planned purposes?! [Again, read closely *The Revision Revised* by Burgon, 549 pages, for abundant proof of these serious charges and these straightforward terms!]

(3) What Does "NEWLY AVAILABLE MANUSCRIPTS" Mean? Does this mean that their chief "MANUSCRIPT," that is, the Vatican Manuscript or "B," was "newly available" from the standpoint of only "newly" found or discovered? No, this could not possibly be true (nor was it Dr. Panosian's meaning, I would assume), for the simple reason that *Codex Vaticanus* or ("B") was known to textual scholars in 1475 when it was "cataloged in the Vatican Library." [See *A General Introduction To The Bible* by Geisler and Nix, Moody Press, 1979, p. 271, for confirmation of this point.] It was true, however, that "for the next four hundred years scholars were prohibited from studying it" [Cf. Geisler & Nix, *op. cit.*, p. 271]. However, there were cursive Greek manuscripts which were similar to "B" which were readily "available" to Greek textual students for hundreds of years before Westcott and Hort's time. Also, readings from "B" in certain critical passages were available as well. Erasmus knew of the Vatican Codex "B," and of many of its readings, but rejected it and its readings, and those cursives that followed in its fallacious train in his making up of the Greek New Testament which bears his name.

(4) What Is The "ALEXANDRIAN TEXT" and How Valid Is This Distinction? While it is doubtlessly true that the Vatican Codex ("B") which Westcott and Hort considered to be primary in all of their endeavors, could be considered "Alexandrian" because it was found in Egypt where

"KING JAMES FANS" (?)

By E. L. Bynum

Copyright © 1979 by E. L. Bynum

[Installment # 9]

Has Rice's Inerrant Bible Ever Existed?

NO, it never has existed at one time in one place upon the face of the earth. If the only infallible Bible was the originals, then anyone would know that no mortal man that ever lived saw them at one time here upon the earth. Only the naive would believe that Peter, James, John and Paul had the original copies written by Moses and all the different prophets. Roughly speaking, the Old Testament copies would have been from 400 to 1500 years old, and there is not one hint in the Bible that any of the original autographs existed by New Testament times, much less all of them. Yet, Peter wrote about having a "more sure word of prophecy" (II Pet. 1:19-21). Paul wrote about "All scripture is given by inspiration of God . . ." (II Tim. 3:15). Paul wrote to Timothy, ". . . from a child thou has known the holy scriptures . . ." (II Tim. 3:14). Surely no one would assume that Timothy had all of the original autographs from the time he

the climate made it possible to be preserved for all those centuries, another reason given for its preservation was its DIS-USE by the knowledgeable Christian believers of that day. They realized that "B" was a fraud, with many, many errors and "corrections" and heretical omissions and/or inclusions which were not in conformity to the ORIGINALS which they had access to in the places of origin. How valid is the use of various "TEXT-TYPES" such as the "Alexandrian?" It has been already established above in this article [Cf. ITEM #13, as found in the Dean Burgon News of September, 1979, pp. 3-4] that all such "TEXT-TYPES" or "FAMILIES" are not to be maintained, but should be abandoned once and for all. They are merely arbitrary terminology used by Westcott and Hort like a "Documentary Hypothesis" of sorts. Note our previous references above to **The Identity Of The New Testament Text** by Norman Pickering [available for a gift to DBS of \$9.00] and to **Revision Revised** by Burgon [available for a gift of \$12.00, #611 to DBS]. Also, Edward Miller's DBS reprint on **Guide To The Textual Criticism Of The New Testament** [for a gift to DBS of \$7.00, #743], on p. 41, gives the Westcott and Hort division of the various texts into their FOUR TEXT-TYPES, with a refutation of their theory behind them (p. 44). We would be much farther along and better off to **abandon** all reference to Westcott and Hort's fallacious "TEXT-TYPES" and "TEXT FAMILIES" altogether, since there is no sound evidence for their existence in the first place! They are nothing but the fictions of Hort's prejudiced and malicious mind against the Traditional Greek Text which he had hated even before he began to study into the matter!!

[TO BE CONTINUED]

was a child. Remember, there were no printing presses, and even if everyone of the original manuscripts had survived, there could have been only one copy of each, and putting them all together, you would have only had one infallible inerrant Bible for **only** one person in the **whole** world.

As a matter of fact, you never hear Jesus Christ, Peter, Paul or any New Testament writer criticizing the Bible that they had. Many scholars believe that in New Testament times, that they used a Greek version known as the Septuagint. Other scholars seriously question that belief. It is not in the province of this article to try to settle that question. But the point is, that whether they used that or some other Greek version, or whether they used the Hebrew, in no case did they have access to the original autographs. Jesus Christ was God and He knew all things, yet, you

Dean Burgon Said It—"EXTERNAL EVIDENCE OUR BEST AND ONLY SAFE GUIDE TO THE TEXT" "For we resolutely maintain, that EXTERNAL EVIDENCE MUST AFTER ALL BE OUR BEST, OUR ONLY SAFE GUIDE; and (to come to the point) we refuse to throw in our lot with those who, disregarding the witness of EVERY OTHER known Codex—EVERY OTHER Version—EVERY OTHER available Ecclesiastical Writer,—insist on following the dictates of a LITTLE GROUP OF AUTHORITIES, of which nothing whatever is known with so much certainty as that often, when they concur exclusively, it is to MISLEAD. We speak of codices B or Aleph or D; the 9th-century codex L, and such cursives* as 13 or 33; a few copies of the old Latin and one of the Egyptian versions: perhaps Origen.—NOT THEORY, therefore:—NOT PREJUDICE:—NOT CONJECTURE:—NOT UNPROVED ASSERTION:—NOT ANY SINGLE CODEX, and certainly not codex B:—NOT AN IMAGINARY 'ANTIOCHENE RECENSION' of another imaginary 'Pre-Syrian Text':—NOT ANTECEDENT FANCIES ABOUT THE AFFINITY OF DOCUMENTS:—NEITHER the [purely arbitrary] METHOD OF GENEALOGY,—NOR ONE MAN'S NOTIONS (which may be reversed by another man's notions) of 'TRANSCRIPTIONAL PROBABILITY':—NOT 'INSTINCTIVE PROCESSES OF CRITICISM,'—LEAST OF ALL 'THE INDIVIDUAL MIND,' with its 'SUPPOSED POWER OF DIVINING THE ORIGINAL TEXT'—of which no intelligible account can be rendered:—NOTHING OF THIS SORT,—(however specious and plausible it may sound, especially when set forth in confident language; advocated with a great show of unintelligible learning; supported by a formidable array of cabalistic symbols and mysterious contractions; above all when recommended by justly respected names,)—NOTHING OF THIS SORT, we say, MUST BE ALLOWED TO DETERMINE FOR US THE TEXT OF SCRIPTURE." [Revision Revised, pp. 19-20]

never hear Him or any of His apostles correcting the manuscript or version that they had. Imagine Paul saying, our Greek version says this, but if the Hebrew had been translated right, or if the scribes had not made an error, it would have said this!! No, they spent their time declaring the Word of God, rather than criticizing it!! I am utterly worn out with all the corrections, better translations, better renderings, etc., that we are forced to listen to when we hear the average preacher preach or teach. It is doing untold harm to the cause of Christ, by destroying the faith of people in the Word of God. Certainly we do not oppose the use of dictionaries, lexicons and concordances, but this correction of the Bible has become a ruinous hobby, even among fundamentalists.

Dr. J. Frank Norris And The English Bible

J. Frank Norris was one of the founders of the Baptist Bible Union, and one of those who approved of its Confession of Faith. When he founded his own movement (which is now the World Baptist Fellowship), he used that Confession of Faith with only very minor changes and by adding two articles. He used it in the churches he pastored and it was adopted by the Fellowship. (We shall not try to defend everything that he did, but neither are we one of his critics). Beyond any doubt he was the greatest pulpit preacher that 20th. Century America has produced. In his prime there was no one even close to him in preaching ability. He was a graduate of Baylor University and he finished Southern Baptist Seminary with the highest honors. He sat at the feet of the great Baptist language scholars of that day. I heard him hundreds of times over a period of 15 or more years. I can never recall him correcting the Bible and trying to impress people with his knowledge of Greek or Hebrew.

As a matter of fact, the school that he founded in 1939 and was associated with until the time of his death in 1952, was not allowed to teach Hebrew or Greek. At that time it was known as the Bible Baptist Seminary and was advertised with a large sign on the front that said, "Only Seminary In The World Teaching Whole English Bible." Some would laugh at

Circulate These Books

- A Guide To The TEXTUAL CRITICISM Of The New Testament, by Edward Miller, for a gift of \$7.00
- THE REVISION REVISED, by Dean John William Burgon, for a gift of \$12.00
- HERESIES OF WESTCOTT & HORT, by Dr. D. A. Waite, for a gift of \$2.50
- GREEK NEW TESTAMENT—RECEIVED TEXT, for a gift of \$9.00
- WHICH BIBLE?, edited by Dr. David Otis Fuller, for a gift of \$5.00
- TRUE OR FALSE?, edited by Dr. David Otis Fuller, for a gift of \$5.00
- COUNTERFEIT OR GENUINE?, edited by Dr. David Otis Fuller, for a gift of \$5.00

Norris' lack of teaching Hebrew and Greek, but nevertheless they turned out some of the strongest preachers and strongest church builders to be found anywhere. His preaching built faith in the Word of God, it did not destroy that faith!

Today, the World Baptist Fellowship uses that same Confession of Faith. The school has moved to Arlington, Texas and the name changed to Arlington Baptist Schools. While we cannot speak for them, nor would we try to drag them into this controversy, yet it is highly significant that even though they now teach Greek at the School, they use only the King James Version and the Greek Textus Receptus.

[TO BE CONTINUED]

THE DEAN BURGON NEWS
Box 359
Collingswood, NJ 08108

(Address Correction Requested)

OUR READERS RESPOND

WISCONSIN: Enclosed is ten dollars; five dollars for the book "Which Bible?" by Dr. David Otis Fuller and the remaining five dollars as a gift to this outstanding society. Thank God for your stand defending the T.R. Although I know very little about defending the Traditional Greek Text, your newsletter is clearing up many of my questions. I thank the Lord for bringing Dr. Waite and Dr. Fuller to Maranatha last Spring and the Conference this school year. I always felt that the versions other than the KJV were wrong and now I am beginning to understand why. Thanks so much for having the courage to stand.

OHIO: I work for the Post Office and saw "The Dean Burgon Newsletter," Vol. 2, No. 3, and since we can't read the mail I wondered how I could get it.

TEXAS: Could you please tell me where I could buy a copy of the Greek Textus Receptus? None of the Bible Book Stores I have contacted in El Paso can find them in their catalogues. EDITOR: Readers may order TEXTUS RECEPTUS Greek Text from DBS for a GIFT of \$9.00.

Non-Profit Org.
U.S. Postage
PAID
Permit No. 822
Camden, N.J.

SEND GIFT SUBSCRIPTIONS! All gifts to Dean Burgon Society are tax deductible!

THE DEAN BURGON SOCIETY

Box 359, Collingswood, New Jersey 08108, U.S.A.
Phone: 609-854-4452

MEMBERSHIP FORM

I have a copy of the "Articles of Faith, Operation, and Organization" of The Dean Burgon Society, Incorporated. After reading these "Articles," I wish to state, by my signature below, that I believe in and accept such "Articles." I understand that my "Membership" is for one year and that I must renew my "Membership" at that time in order to remain a "Member" in good standing of the Society.

() I wish to become a member of The Dean Burgon Society for the first time.

() I wish to renew my membership subscription which has expired as of:.....

SIGNED:

DATE:

I enclose: (Attention: The Dean Burgon Society
Box 359, Collingswood, NJ 08108

*Membership Donation (\$5.00/year) \$.....

*Life Membership Donation (\$50.00) \$.....

*Additional Donation To The Society \$.....

TOTAL: \$.....

Please PRINT in CAPITAL LETTERS your name and address below:

NAME:

ADDRESS:.....

CITY:.....

STATE:..... ZIP:.....

Although I am not a member of The Dean Burgon Society, I do wish to subscribe to the Newsletter, by making a gift of \$2.50 to the Society.

NAME:

ADDRESS:.....

CITY:.....

STATE:..... ZIP:.....

*I understand that, included in my first \$2.50 gift accompanying any donation or order—regardless of the amount of the order or donation—is my year's subscription to The Dean Burgon Society NEWSLETTER.

Canada & All Foreign
Subscriptions \$5.00 Yearly

WHY NOT SUBSCRIBE TODAY?

THE DEAN BURGON SOCIETY
Executive Committee

- PRESIDENT: Rev. D. A. Waite, Collingswood, NJ
VICE PRESIDENT: Rev. D. O. Fuller, Grand Rapids, MI
VICE PRESIDENT: Rev. M. J. Hollowood, Watertown, WI
SECRETARY: Rev. E. A. Griffith, West Chester, PA
TREASURER: Rev. H. L. Morgan, Elmer, NJ
Rev. E. L. Bynum, Lubbock, TX
Rev. B. D. Cummons, Massillon, OH
Rev. P. C. Fedona, Fairless Hills, PA
Mr. E. W. Fowler, Scarsdale, NY
Rev. F. A. McQuade, Glen Mills, PA
Rev. G. D. Mollish, Weston, Ont., CAN.
Rev. L. R. Oats, Watertown, WI
Mr. R. O. Sanborn, St. Petersburg, FL
Rev. T. M. Strouse, Watertown, WI

WISCONSIN: I would like to renew both my membership in Dean Burgon Society, and the BFT Newsreport. I hope that writing one check will be fine. I would like to personally commend the fine work that is being done in defense of the Authorized Version and the traditional texts. The issues have very excellent materials and give forth the right and proper way of standing for the faith without dwelling on personalities, but just on the precious Word.

MICHIGAN: We are proud of your fundamental stand for the plenary Word of God and the Authorized Version. Your answers and challenges to those who compromise the cause of Christ is truly honorable. I am proud that a few care enough for separation and Bible principles. Christ must be proud of your faithfulness and steadfastness. Keep up the great work.

THE DEAN BURGON NEWS

Published Monthly by
The Dean Burgon Society
D. A. Waite, Editor
Box 359
Collingswood, NJ 08108

Editorial Committee:

- M. J. Hollowood
D. A. Waite